1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

<b>UNITED</b>	<b>STATES</b>	DISTRICT	<b>COURT</b>

#### NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN	ΛN	/IFR	SIO	N	CO	RP	$\cap R$	ΔТΙ	ON.
111	TT)		o	'T N	$\sim$	1/1	$\mathbf{O}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{V}$	TII	OII.

Plaintiff,

v.

FITBIT, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 17-CV-03886-LHK

ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON CLAIM CONSTRUCTION DISPUTE THAT COULD AFFECT THE PENDING MOTION TO DISMISS

Re: Dkt. No. 56

On February 22, 2018, Plaintiff notified the Court that the parties agreed that certain unspecified terms in the patents-in-suit be construed as means plus function under 35 U.S.C. § 112(f). *See* ECF No. 56. Plaintiff stated that it "expects the Court's § 101 analysis to be impacted by the particular function and structure read into the claims." *Id.* Accordingly, the parties shall file by 6:00 p.m. on February 23, 2018 a joint notice identifying which terms the parties stipulate should be construed as means plus function. If the parties disagree on the corresponding structure, the parties shall also specify their positions on the corresponding structure without including argument.

### IT IS SO ORDERED.

27

28

Case No. 17-CV-03886-LHK

ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON CLAIM CONSTRUCTION DISPUTE THAT COULD AFFECT THE PENDING MOTION TO DISMISS

# 

Dated: February 23, 2018

Lucy H. Koh

LUCY **T**. KOH

United States District Judge